

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,802	02/19/2002	Dennis R. Burton	07300-025002	7485
75	90 08/15/2003			
Fish & Richardson P.C.			EXAMINER	
Suite 500 4350 La Jolla V			WORTMAN,	DONNA C
San Diego, CA 92122			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	r ~
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2003	$\left(O\right)$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/079,802	BURTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donna C. Wortman, Ph.D.	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
<u> </u>	1 mril 2002					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 A</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	.					
·	is action is non-final.	reconstitute on the the receite in				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-18,21 and 24</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •	·				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-18,21 and 24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		,				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 	visional application has been red	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	o priority drider 50 0.0.0. 38 121	0 and/01 121.				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 15, 22 and 23 were canceled, claims 16, 17, 18, and 21 were amended, and claim 24 was added in Paper No. 6 filed 14 April 2003. Claims 16-18, 21 and 24 are pending and under examination.

Double patenting rejection overcome

The terminal disclaimer filed on 14 April 2003 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent 6,156,313 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

New objection/rejection

Claims 17, 18, and 21 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claims, or amend the claims to place the claims in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claims in independent form. Claim 16 is drawn to a particular antibody, a monoclonal Fab, produced by E. coli ATCC 69522. Since the characteristics and specificity of the antibody are inherent properties, claims 17, 18 and 21 are not seen to provide any further limitation to the subject matter of claim 16.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,802

Art Unit: 1648

Claim 24 is indefinite because it depends from canceled claim 15.

Rejections maintained

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 16-19, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention, for reasons of record in rejecting claim 16 in the previous Office action, i.e., a biological deposit as set forth in 37 CFR 1.801-1.809 is required. Although claim 24 is indefinite as discussed below, it is included in this rejection because it is believed that claim 24 was intended to depend from claim 16.

Applicant has provided a Declaration describing the deposit of clone AC8, along with the required assurances; however, the biological deposit requirement is not fully satisfied. In particular, 37 CFR 1.809(d) requires that for each deposit made pursuant to the regulations, the specification shall contain: (1) The accession number for the deposit; (2) The date of the deposit; (3) A description of the deposited biological material sufficient to specifically identify it and to permit examination; and (4) The name and address of the depository. Amendment of the specification to provide these four items is needed.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,802

Art Unit: 1648

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 16-18, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Burioni et al (Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 91:355-359, 1994), for reasons of record.

Applicant has stated that the instant application claims priority to US application serial no. 08/316,914 filed October 3, 1994, and has requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,802

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant's remarks have been considered but not found persuasive. The Burioni et al. reference was published January 1994, less than one year before October 3, 1994, and is available as prior art under 35 USC 102(a). For possible ways to overcome a rejection made under 35 USC 102(a), please see MPEP 2132.01.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donna C. Wortman, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-1032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00-5:30.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4027. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Donna C. Wortman, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1648

dcw